

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR MARIAPIA A. DEGLI-ESPOSTI | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 2849-A | CONFIRMATION NO. 9687 |
|----------------------|--------------------|--------------|---|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| 08/943,776 | | 10/03/1997 | | | |
| 22932 | 7590 | 01/08/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| | EX CORP PARTMEN | ORATION T | SPECTOR, LORRAINE | | |
| 51 UNIVERSITY STREET | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| SEATTLE, WA 98101 | | | | 1647 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 01/08/2004 | l . |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action

| | | 0 |
|-------------------------|--------------------|----|
| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| 08/943,776 | DEGLI-ESPOSTI ET A | L. |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Lorraine Spector, Ph.D. | 1647 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

| There final r condi | REPLY FILED 08 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. sfore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in tion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. |
|-------------------------------|---|
| | PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] |
| a) [| The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. |
| b) [| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). |
| ee have ee und (2) as s | Attensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension are been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension are 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |
| 1.🖂 | A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>08 December 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. |
| 2.🖂 | The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: |
| (a |) 🔯 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); |
| (b |) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); |
| (c |) Methey are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or |
| (d |) $oxed{oxed}$ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. |
| | NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . |
| | Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): |
| 4.⊠ | Newly proposed or amended claim(s) $\underline{1,6,10,13,16,29}$ and $\underline{30}$ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). |
| 5. | The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: |
| 6. | The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. |
| 7.⊠ | For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \boxtimes will not be entered or b) \square will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. |
| | The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: |
| | Claim(s) allowed: <u>NONE</u> . |
| | Claim(s) objected to: |
| | Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,6,7,10,11,13,14,16 and 22-26</u> . |
| | Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: |
| 8. | The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. |
| 9. | Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) |
| 0.🖂 | Other: See Continuation Sheet |
| | Lorraine Spector, Ph.D. Primary Examiner |
| | Art Unit: 1647 |

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 008/943,776

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicants have not pointed out specific basis for recitation of 98% identity. Claims 3 and 26 would raise new issues under 112, first paragraph, and art. Claims 22-25 would require further consideration of art rejections. Claims 20 and 21, listed in amendment, are cancelled. Claims to fusion proteins have not previously been considered, and would require further consideration. The fusion protein claims are not timely filed.

Continuation of 10. Other: IDS submitted 12/8/2003 is not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97. It has been placed in the file, but not considered..